

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 22739 of 2005

Between:

Smt.Yellati Surya Prakasammam, W/o.Tammanna Dora,
Jaddangi Village, Rajavommangi Mandal, E.G.district.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep, by its Prl.Secretary,
Tribal Welfare Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, E.G.district, Kakinada.
3. The Addl.Agent to Government, Project Officer,
Rampachodavaram, E.G.district.
4. Special Deputy Collector, L.T.R.P.Rampachodavaram,
E.G.district.
5. Mandal Revenue Officer, Rajavommangi Mandal, E.G.district.
6. Bonku Papa Rao, S/o.Babulu, Jaddangi Village,
Rajavommangi Mandal, E.G.district.

...RESPONDENTS

* * *

ORDER:

The only grievance of the petitioner is that aggrieved by the order made in L.T.R.P.No.7 of 1999 dated 06.06.2002 on the file of the Special Deputy Collector, L.T.R.P., Rampachodavaram, East Godavari District, she filed C.M.A.No.40 of 2004 before the Additional Agent to Government Project Officer, Rampachodavaram, East Godavari District and the same was also dismissed on 17.09.2005. Aggrieved by the same he preferred a statutory revision C.No.3436 of 2005 before the 1st respondent-Government and during pendency of the revision he also filed a stay petition seeking suspension of the orders of the 3rd respondent. However, the stay petition is not yet disposed of. Therefore, the present writ petition has been filed.

2. According to the petitioner, the transactions in dispute have taken place much prior to the Act 1 of 1970 came into force and throughout the proceedings and even as of now she is in possession of the property.

3. In view of the pendency of the revision petition before the first respondent, it would be appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the first respondent to dispose of the revision petition filed by the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. However, pending disposal of the revision petition, the respondents are directed not to dispossess the petitioner from the schedule land.

4. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of. No costs.

C.V.RAMULU, J.

Date: 21st October 2005.

BSB